

ON GENERALIZED AMENABILITY

A.N. DRANISHNIKOV

ABSTRACT. There is a word metric d on countably generated free group Γ such that (Γ, d) does not admit a coarse uniform imbedding into a Hilbert space.

§1 INTRODUCTION

A discrete countable group G is called *amenable* if there exists a left invariant *mean* on G , i.e. a positive finitely additive, finite measure μ . Clearly, that $\mu(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$. Equivalently, a group G is amenable if its natural action on the Stone-Čech compactification βG admits an invariant measure. In [Gr] M. Gromov introduced the notion of an *a-T- menable* group as a group G which admits a proper isometric action on the Hilbert space l_2 .

The Novikov higher signature conjecture was known for some classes of amenable groups for many years. Recently Higson and Kasparov [H-K] proved it for all amenable groups and for a-T-menenable groups. Then G. Yu [Y] proved it for more general class of groups, we call it *Y-amenable* groups. A group G is called Y-amenable if it admits a coarsely uniform embedding as defined in [Gr] into a Hilbert space.

In the case of genuine amenability there is the Folner Criterion [Fo],[Gr] which allows to establish amenability of a group in terms of the growth function of an exhausting family of compact sets in a group. In [Y] Yu introduced his Property A (we do not define it here), which serves as a distant analog of Folner property. After analyzing the Property A Higson and Roe [H-R] introduced a new notion of amenability.

Definition. *A discrete countable group G is called Higson-Roe amenable if its action on the Stone-Čech compactification βG is topologically amenable.*

An action of G on a compact space X is *topologically amenable* [A-D-R] if there is a sequence of continuous maps $b^n : X \rightarrow P(G)$ to the space of probability measures on G such that for every $g \in G$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in X} \|gb_x^n - b_{gx}^n\|_1 = 0$. Here a measure $b_x^n = b^n(x)$ is considered as a function $b_x^n : G \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the l_1 -norm.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20H15.

Key words and phrases. amenable group, coarsely uniform embedding.

Assertion 1. *A discrete countable group G is Higson-Roe amenable if it admits a topologically amenable action on some compact metrizable space X .*

Proof. The proof in one direction is given in Proposition 2.3 of [H-R]. The other implication follows from countability of G and the Schepin Spectral Theorem [Ch]. \square

We note that the trivial action of the classiscal amenable groups on a one-point space is topologically amenable. Also all hyperbolic groups are acting on their Gromov boundaries topologically amenable [Ad], [A-D-R]. Still there is no example of a countable group which is not Higson-Roe amenable. In this paper we present an example of countable group which is not Y-amenable.

§2 COARSELY UNIFORM EMBEDDINGS

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between metric spaces is called a *coarsely uniform embedding* if there are functions $\rho_1, \rho_2; [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_i(t) = \infty$ such that $\rho_1(d_X(x, x')) \leq d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq \rho_2(d_X(x, x'))$ for all $x, x' \in X$.

1. Higson-Roe-Yu Embedding Theorem. The following theorem is due to Higson-Roe and Yu [H-R],[Y].

Theorem 1. *A finitely generated Higson-Roe amenable group G admits a coarsely uniform embedding into the Hilbert space for a word metric on G .*

Every set of generators S of a group G defines a word metric d_S on G . If a group is finitely generated we assume that S is finite. Any two such metrics generated by two finite sets are quazi-isometric. The following fact is well-known.

Assertion 2. *Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of a finitely generated group G , then the inclusion is a coarsely uniform embedding.*

Proof. Let S be a set of generators of Γ and let T be a set of generators of G . Without loss of generality we may assume that $S \subset T$. Then $d_T(x, y) = \|x^{-1}y\|_T \leq \|x^{-1}y\|_S = d_S(x, y)$. Thus, $\rho_2(t) = t$. We define $\rho_1(t) = \min\{\|w\|_T \mid w \in \Gamma, \|w\|_S \geq t\}$. Assume that ρ_1 is bounded. Then there are a constant R and a sequence $w_i \in \Gamma$ with $\|w_i\|_S \geq i$ and $\|w_i\|_T \leq R$. This contradicts with the fact that a R -ball in G is finite. Note that $\rho_1(d_S(x, y)) \leq \|x^{-1}y\|_T = d_T(x, y)$. \square

2. Modified Enflo's Metric Spaces. We define metric spaces M_n which are adaptations for asymptotic geometry of Enflo's spaces [En]. Let $N_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n+1}-1\}$ with metric $|x - y| \bmod 2^{n+1}$. We define $M_n = (N_n)^{2^n}$ as the product of 2^n copies of N_n with the metric $d(a, b) = \max_i \{|a_i - b_i| \bmod 2^{n+1}\}$ where $a = \{a_i\}$ and $b = \{b_i\}$.

A pair of points (a, b) in M_n is called an m -segment if the coordinates of a and b are different in exactly 2^{n-m} positions and $|a_i - b_i| = 2^m$ if $a_i \neq b_i$.

Proposition 1. *For any two m -segments (a, b) and (a', b') in M_n there is an isometry $h : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ with $h(a) = a'$ and $h(b) = b'$ such that h takes k -segments to k -segments for any k .*

Proof. First we consider a permutation $\sigma : \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$ which establishes a bijection between coordinate spaces for which $a_i = b_i$ and $a'_i = b'_i$. Then for every

i we consider an isometry $h_i : N_n \rightarrow N_n$ taking $(a_{\sigma(i)}, b_{\sigma(i)})$ to (a'_i, b'_i) . Such an isometry exists, since either $|a_{\sigma(i)} - b_{\sigma(i)}| = 2^m = |a'_i - b'_i|$ or $|a_{\sigma(i)} - b_{\sigma(i)}| = 0 = |a'_i - b'_i|$. The family $\{h_i\}$ defines an isometry $\bar{h} : M_n \rightarrow M_n$. We define $h = \bar{h} \circ \bar{\sigma}$ where $\bar{\sigma} : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ is defined by the formula $\bar{\sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(2n)})$. Then $(h(a))_i = (\bar{h} \circ \bar{\sigma}(a))_i = h_i(\bar{\sigma}(a))_i = h_i(a_{\sigma(i)}) = a'_i$. Thus, $h(a) = a'$. Similarly, one can check that $h(b) = b'$. \square

Following Enflo [En], by a *double n -simplex* in a space M we call a set $2n + 2$ points $D_n = \{u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}\}$, $u_i, v_j \in M$. Pairs (u_i, u_j) and (v_i, v_j) are called *edges* of D_n and pairs $(u - i, v_j)$ are called *connecting lines*.

Proposition 2. *For any m , $1 \leq m < n$, there exists a double $(n-1)$ -simplex $D_{n-1}^m \subset M_n$ such that all edges are m -segments and all connecting lines are $(m-1)$ -segments.*

Proof. Let $I_m = \{1, \dots, n^{n-m+1}\}$. Let J_1^m, \dots, J_n^m be the partition of I_m in n equal parts $J_1^m = \{1, \dots, n^{n-m}\}$, $J^m + 2 = \{n^{n-m} + 1, \dots, 2n^{n-m}\}$, ..., $J_n^m = \{(n-1)n^{n-m} + 1, \dots, n^{n-m+1}\}$. We define $u_k, v_k \in M_n$ as follows:

$$(u_k)_i = \begin{cases} 2^m, & \text{if } i \in J_k^m; \\ 2^{m-1}, & \text{if } i \in I_m + n^{n-m+1}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(v_k)_i = \begin{cases} 2^m & \text{if } i \in J_k^m + n^{n-m+1}; \\ 2^{m-1} & \text{if } i \in I_m; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since u_k and u_l for $k \neq l$ differ at $2n^{n-m}$ positions and $|(u_k)_i - (u_l)_i| = 2^m$ at those positions, all u -edges in the corresponding double $(n-1)$ -simplex are m -segments. Similarly, all v -edges are m -segments. Since u_k and v_l are distinct in $2n^{n-m+1}$ coordinates with $|(u_k)_i - (v_l)_i| = 2^{m-1}$, every connecting line (u_k, v_l) is an $(m-1)$ -segment. \square

3. Obstruction to Embedding The following proposition is well-known, it can be extracted from [En].

Proposition 3. *For every double n -simplex in the Hilbert space the inequality $\Sigma c_\alpha^2 \geq \Sigma s_\beta^2$ holds where c_α runs through the length of connecting lines and s_β runs through the length of edges.*

Proof. First we proof this inequality for a double simplex in the real line. The equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} (u_k - v_l)^2 - \Sigma_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} (u_k - u_l)^2 - \Sigma_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} (v_k - v_l)^2 \\ & = (\Sigma_{1 \leq k \leq n} u_k - \Sigma_{1 \leq l \leq n} v_l)^2 \end{aligned}$$

implies the inequality

$$\Sigma_{1 \leq k, l \leq n} (u_k - v_l)^2 \geq \Sigma_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} (u_k - u_l)^2 + \Sigma_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} (v_k - v_l)^2$$

which is exactly the inequality $\Sigma c_\alpha^2 \geq \Sigma s_\beta^2$.

Since $\|u_k - u_l\|^2 = \sum_i ((u_k)_i - (u_l)_i)^2$, we obtain the required inequality by adding up corresponding inequalities for i -th coordinates. \square

Theorem 2. *Assume that a metric space X contains an isometric copies of M_n for all n . Then X cannot be coarsely uniformly embedded in the Hilbert space.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. Let $f : X \rightarrow l_2$ be a coarsely uniform embedding. let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be corresponding functions. Since $\rho_1 \rightarrow \infty$, there is m such that $\rho_1(2^m) > 2\sqrt{e}\rho_2(1)$. We consider a double $(n-1)$ -simplex $D_{n-1}^m \subset M_n \subset X$ for any $n > m$. Denote by $\bar{f}((a, b)) = \|f(a) - f(b)\|$. Then Proposition 3 implies the inequality

$$\sum_{c \in C(D_{n-1}^m)} \bar{f}(c)^2 \geq \sum_{s \in E(D_{n-1}^m)} \bar{f}(s).$$

Here $C(D_{n-1}^m)$ denotes the set of all connecting lines and $E(D_{n-1}^m)$ denotes the set of all edges.

Let \mathcal{D} be the set of all double $(n-1)$ simplices in M_n isomorphic to D_{n-1}^m . Then

$$\sum_{c \in C(D), D \in \mathcal{D}} \bar{f}(c)^2 \geq \sum_{s \in E(D), D \in \mathcal{D}} \bar{f}(s)^2.$$

This inequality can be written as

$$\sum_{c \in C(D), D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\bar{f}(c)^2}{n^2 \text{card}(\mathcal{D})} \geq \sum_{s \in E(D), D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\bar{f}(s)^2}{n^2 \text{card}(\mathcal{D})} = \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{s \in E(D), D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\bar{f}(s)^2}{n(n-1) \text{card}(\mathcal{D})}.$$

Let S_m denote the set of all m -segments in M_n . By the Proposition 1 all m -segments in M_n are equal. It means that every m -segment c is a connecting line for the same number of double simplices from \mathcal{D} and every $m-1$ -segment is an edge of the same number of double simplices from \mathcal{D} . Since the number of connecting edges in a double $(n-1)$ -simplex is n , the expression $\sum_{c \in C(D), D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\bar{f}(c)^2}{n^2 \text{card}(\mathcal{D})}$ is the arithmetic mean. Because of symmetry the arithmetic mean $\sum_{c \in C(D), D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\bar{f}(c)^2}{n^2 \text{card}(\mathcal{D})}$ can be computed as $\sum_{c \in S_{m-1}} \frac{\bar{f}(c)^2}{\text{card}(S_{m-1})}$. By a similar reason the arithmetic mean $\sum_{s \in E(D), D \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{\bar{f}(s)^2}{n(n-1) \text{card}(\mathcal{D})}$ can be computed as $\sum_{c \in S_m} \frac{\bar{f}(c)^2}{\text{card}(S_m)}$. Thus, we have an inequality

$$(1 + \frac{1}{n-1}) \bar{g}_{m-1} \geq \bar{g}_m \text{ where } \bar{g}_k = \sum_{c \in S_k} \frac{\bar{f}(c)^2}{\text{card}(S_k)}.$$

Iterate this inequality to obtain the following

$$(1 + \frac{1}{n-1})^{n-1} \bar{g}_0 \geq \bar{g}_{n-1}. \text{ Hence } e \bar{g}_0 \geq \bar{g}_{n-1}. \text{ Then}$$

$$\sqrt{e} \rho_2(1) \geq \sqrt{e} \sup_{c \in S_0} \bar{f}(c) \geq \inf_{c \in S_{n-1}} \bar{f}(c) \geq \rho_1(2^{n-1}) \geq \rho_1(2^m) > 2\sqrt{e} \rho_2(1).$$

The contradiction completes the proof. \square

4. A group which is not Y-amenable.

For every modified Enflo's space M_n we consider the graph G_n whose vertices are points of M_n and two vertices a and b are joint by an edge if and only if $d(a, b) = 1$ in M_n . Note that G_n is connected. Let G be an infinite wedge of all G_n . We define a path

metric on G such that any two vertices joined by an edge are on distance one. We define a countable infinitely generated group Γ as follows. Fix an orientation on all edges of G . Then all edges of G are the generators of Γ and all loops are the relations.

Theorem 3. *The group Γ is not Y -amenable.*

Proof. Fix a metric on Γ defined by the above set of generators, then G is isometrically imbedded in Γ . By Theorem 2 Γ does not admit a coarsely uniform embedding into the Hilbert space. \square

It can be shown that the group Γ is infact a free group generated by edges of a maximal tree in G .

I am very grateful to M. Gromov, N. Higson, J. Roe and M. Sapir for valuable discussions and remarks.

REFERENCES

- [Ad] S. Adams, *Boundary amenability for word hyperbolic groups and application to smooth dynamics of simple groups*, Topology **33** (1994), 765-783.
- [A-D-R] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche and J. Renault, *Amenable groupoids*, Preprint (1998).
- [Ch] A. Chigogidze, *Inverse spectra*, North Holland, 1996.
- [En] P. Enflo, *On a problem of Smirnov*, Arkiv for matematik **8 no 12** (1969), 107-109.
- [Gr] M. Gromov, *Asymptotic invariants of infinite groups*, Geometric Group Theory, v.2, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [H-K] N. Higson and G. Kasparov, *Operator K -theory for groups which act properly and isometrically on Hilbert space*, E.R.A. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1997), 131-142.
- [H-R] N. Higson and J. Roe, *Amenable action and the Novikov conjecture*, Preprint (1998).
- [Y] G. Yu, *The coarse Baum-Connes conjecture for groups which admit a uniform embedding into Hilbert space*, Preprint (1998).
- [Fo] E. Folner, *On groups with full Banach mean value*, Math. Scand. **3** (1955), 243-254.

PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 218 MCALLISTER BUILDING,
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA, 16802, USA
E-mail address: dranish@math.psu.edu